Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 196753

2.1

ORIGIN COME-00

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-07 CIAE-00 INR-07

IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-06 DODE-00

ERDA-05 DOTE-00 FMC-01 SAL-01 CG-00 DLOS-06 SS-15

PM-04 /099 R

DRAFTED BY MARAD:ZLEVINE:AN
APPROVED BY OES/NET/RD:JBDEVINE
EB/TT/MA - MR. BANK
OES/NET/RD: MR. ADLER
ERDA - MR. HELFRICH
L/OES - MR. BETTAUER
US COAST GUARD - MR. DECK
EUR/CAN - MR. BLAKEMORE
P; - MR. GROSE
EUR/CE - MR. CASAGRANDE
DESIRED DISTRIBUTION

----- 044932

R 070638Z AUG 76 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY BONN INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA AMCONSUL HAMBURG

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 196753

E.O. 11652: GDS

NRC - MR. GUHIN

TAGS: TECH, CA, US

SUBJECT: COMPETITION FOR CANADIAN NUCLEAR ICEBREAKER

1. CANADIAN COAST GUARD (CCG) RECENTLY COMPLETED PART A, ECONOMIC AND ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY STUDY, OF THEIR POLAR-VII ICEBREAKER PROGRAM. THEIR CONTRACTOR, CECO CONSULTANTS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 196753

LIMITED (CECO) HAS ISSUED INVITATION TO PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS

IN U.S., FRG, UK, AND FRANCE LEADING TO SELECTION OF A SINGLE NUCLEAR STEAM PLANT VENDOR TO PARTICIPATE IN PART B, DESIGN STUDY PHASE, BEGINNING EARLY 1977 AND ESTIMATED TO TAKE 1 1/2 TO 2 YEARS. SELECTED VENDOR SUBSEQUENTLY MAY RECEIVE A NEGOTIATED CONTRACT FOR SUPPLY AND CONSTRUCTION PHASE, PART C, ENDING WITH SEA TRIALS IN 1983.

2. THE BASIC CONCEPT IS THAT THE ICEBREAKER HULL WILL BE CONSTRUCTED IN A CANADIAN SHIPYARD AND TOWED TO THE REACTOR SUPPLIER COUNTRY FOR INSTALLATION AND TEST OF THE NUCLEAR PROPULSION PLANT. INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO DATE INDICATES THAT INTERATOM OF FRG IS THE MAJOR COMPETITOR TO THE U.S. INDUSTRIAL TEAM OF BABCOCK & WILCOX AND NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING. MARAD AND STATE ARE ENDEAVORING TO COORDINATE U.S. GOVERNMENT ACTIONS TO ASSURE THAT THE U.S. INDUSTRIAL TEAM CAN OFFER A STRONG BID IN THIS FIRST INTERNATIONAL

COMPETITION FOR SUPPLY OF A NUCLEAR MERCHANT SHIP PROPULSION SYSTEM.

3. CECO'S INVITATION REQUIRES FORMAL RESPONSE BY PROSPECTIVE REACTOR SUPPLIERS AUGUST 31, 1976. CECO HAS REQUESTED DETAILED INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTIONNAIRE RATHER THAN REQUESTING FIRM, FORMAL BIDS. CECO INDICATES THAT A CONTRACT FOR PART B, DESIGN STUDY PHASE, WILL BE NEGOTIATED WITH THE SELECTED SUPPLIE BASED ON INFORMATION AND COST ESTIMATES SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE. TO ASSIST IN THIS EFFORT, WE WILL APPRECIATE ANY INFORMATION THE EMBASSY CAN PROVIDE REGARDING THE FRG COMPETITION. IN PARTICULAR, INFORMATION ON AND CONFIRMATION OF THE FOLLOWING IS REQUESTED:

A. WE UNDERSTAND INTERATOM AND GESELLSCHAFT FUR KERNERGIEVERWERTUNG IN SCHIFFBAU AND SCHIFFAHRT MBH (GKSS)(HEAVILYSUPPORTED BY THE GERMAN GOVERNMENT) WILL SUBMIT A RESPONSE AS JOINT VENTURE PARTNERS. WE WOULD APPRECIATE CONFIRMATION OR CLARIFICATION OF GKSS ROLE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 196753

B. WE UNDERSTAND INTERATOM-GKSS WILL SUBMIT A FIRM, FIXED-PRICE OFFER FOR PART B, DESIGN STUDY PHASE, AND MAY INCLUDE A FIRM OFFER FOR PART C ALTHOUGH CECO CALLS ONLY FOR ESTIMATES.

C. WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHETHER FRG OFFER IDENTIFIES ANY R & D WHICH CANADIANS WOULD HAVE TO FUND.

- D. WILL THE PART B ENGINEERING BE PERFORMED IN GERMANY OR IN CANADA? WILL PART C INSTALLATION AND TEST BE DONE IN GERMANY OR CANADA IF INTERATOM IS SELECTED?
- E. WHAT SCHEDULE FOR PARTS B AND C IS PROMISED BY INTERATOM?
- F. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF NUCLEAR SHIP PROPULSION PLANT LICENSING IN GERMANY? WILL INTERATOM OFFER TO LICENSE THEIR PLANT IN GERMANY OR CANADA OR BOTH?
- G. ARE ANY GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT AGREEMENTS UNDER DEVELOPMENT BETWEEN FRG AND CANADA RELATED TO THIS PROGRAM?
- H. DOES GKSS ANTICIPATE SUBCONTRACTING FOR ENGINEERING OR HARDWARE SUPPLIES TO CANADIAN FIRMS? IF SO, WHAT

DOLLAR AMOUNTS OR PERCENTAGE OF BID IS ESTIMATED TO BE ALLOCATED TO CANADIAN SOURCES OF SUPPLY?

4. ADDRESSEES ARE NO DOUBT AWARE OF NECESSITY FOR LOW-KEY APPROACH IN BOTH MARITIME AND SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITIES.

SOONEST RESPONSE, INDICATING WHERE NECESSARY, INABILITY TO OBTAIN INFORMATION REQUESTED ABOVE, WILL BE APPRECIATED. HABIB

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: JOINT VENTURES, PROPOSALS (BID), CONTRACTS, NUCLEAR POWERED SHIPS, ICEBREAKERS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 07 AUG 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ellisoob
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE196753

Document Number: 1976STATE196753
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: ZLEVINE:AN Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760305-0172

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760875/aaaacnfd.tel Line Count: 140 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN COME Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ellisoob

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 15 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <15 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <05 AUG 2004 by ellisoob>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: COMPETITION FOR CANADIAN NUCLEAR ICEBREAKER TAGS: TECH, CA, US
To: BONN

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006